	<u> </u>			
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3				
4	JONATHAN WAYNE BOTTEN, SR.; TANJA )			
5	DUDEK-BOTTEN; ANNABELLE BOTTEN; and ) J.B., a minor by and through his ) guardian JONATHAN WAYNE BOTTEN, SR., )			
6	) Plaintiffs, )			
7	vs. , ) Case No.			
8	) 5:22-CV-00949-KK-	SHK		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; COUNTY OF SAN ) BERNARDINO; ISAIAH KEE; MICHAEL ) BLACKWOOD; BERNARDO RUBALCAVA; ROBERT )			
10	VACCARI; JAKE ADAMS; and DOES 1-10, ) inclusive,			
11	Defendants. )			
12	)			
13				
14				
15				
16	REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF			
17	TIMOTHY JONG, M.D.			
18	THURSDAY, JANUARY 2, 2025			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	Reported Stenographically By:			
24	Jinna Grace Kim, CSR No. 14151			
25	Job No.: 127502			

		Timothy Jones, 112		on 01/02/2025	
		Page 2			Page 4
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COURT	1	INDEX	Ü
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA	2	WITNESS:	PAGE
3			3	TIMOTHY JONG, M.D.	
4	JONATHAN WAYNE BOTTEN, SR.; TANJA	)	4	BY: MR. GALIPO	5
	DUDEK-BOTTEN; ANNABELLE BOTTEN; and	)	5	BY: MS. ESQUIVEL	24
5	J.B., a minor by and through his	)	1	· ·	
	guardian JONATHAN WAYNE BOTTEN, SR.,	)	6	BY: MS. MARGOLIES	35
6		)	7	BY: MR. GALIPO	44
	Plaintiffs,	)	8	BY: MS. ESQUIVEL	48
7		)	9	BY: MS. MARGOLIES	50
		) Case No.	10		
8		) 5:22-CV-00949-KK-SHK		EXHIBITS	
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA; COUNTY OF SAN	)		EMIDIIO	
9	BERNARDINO; ISAIAH KEE; MICHAEL	)	11		
	BLACKWOOD; BERNARDO RUBALCAVA; ROBERT	)		MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	PAGE
10	VACCARI; JAKE ADAMS; and DOES 1-10,	)	12		
	inclusive,	)		(None)	
11	201	)	13		
10	Defendants.	)	14		
12		1			
13 14			15		
15			16		
16	The remate wide	ogition of TIMOTIN	17		
17	The remote videoconference dep JONG, M.D., taken on behalf of the Pla:		18		
18	2:05 p.m., and ending at 3:34 p.m., on		19		
19	2025, before Jinna Grace Kim, Certified	= ' = ' = ' = ' = ' = ' = ' = ' = ' = '	20		
20	Shorthand Reporter No. 14151.	1 Seemographic	21		
21	bhorthana Reporter No. 11151.				
22			22		
23			23		
24			24		
25			25		
1	ADDEADANGED OF COUNCEL .	Page 3			Page 5
1 2	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:		1	CALIFORNIA	
~	For the Plaintiffs:		2	THURSDAY, JANUARY 2, 2025	
3			3	2:05 P.M.	
	LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GA			2 00 2 1	
4		LIPO	1	TOTAL TOTAL	
1	BY: DALE K. GALIPO, ESQ.	LIPO	4	TIMOTHY JONG,	
	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ.		4 5	TIMOTHY JONG, called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having	g been
5	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S	Suite 310		called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having	
	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California	Suite 310	5	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was example to the plaintiffs of the Plaintiffs.	
5	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333	Suite 310	5 6 7	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:	
	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118	Suite 310 a 91367	5 6 7 8	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION	
6	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:	
6	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoo	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7 8	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION	
6 7 8 9	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoa E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7 8 9	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.	
6 7 8	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com For the Defendants: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.	nined
6 7 8 9	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoc E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ.	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11 <b>12</b>	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.	nined
6 7 8 9	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoo E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.	nined
6 7 8 9 10	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoo E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com	5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11 <b>12</b>	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so face.  A. Yes.	nined
6 7 8 9	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahoo E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com n	5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11 <b>12</b> 13 <b>14</b>	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so face.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any	nined
6 7 8 9 10	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahou E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 943	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com n	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so face.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?	nined
6 7 8 9 10 11	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahou E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 943	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com n	5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11 <b>12</b> 13 <b>14</b>	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fact.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any	nined
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ.	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com n	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so face.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?	nined  r?  time,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Roa	Suite 310 a 91367 o.com n	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so factorized and if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phrase.	nined  r?  time,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fate.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phrasm and you do not understand it, will you please let me know?	nined  r?  time,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Roa	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phrasmad you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  I can try to ask it in a better way?	nined  r?  time,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fate.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phrasm and you do not understand it, will you please let me know?	nined  r?  time,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phramad you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  A. Sure.	nined  r?  time,  used  now so
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phramand you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  A. Sure.  Q. I don't plan to be too long, but if you need to	nined  nr?  time,  used  now so
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov  ad, Suite 1450	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phrasmad you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  A. Sure.  Q. I don't plan to be too long, but if you need to a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a	time, used now so to take break.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov  ad, Suite 1450	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phramand you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  A. Sure.  Q. I don't plan to be too long, but if you need to	time, used now so to take break.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov  ad, Suite 1450	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phrasmad you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  A. Sure.  Q. I don't plan to be too long, but if you need to a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a	time,  sed  so take break.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY: HANG D. LE, ESQ. 21800 Burbank Boulevard, S Woodland Hills, California Tel: 818-347-3333 Fax: 818-347-4118 E-mail: dalekgalipo@yahod E-mail: hle@galipolaw.com  For the Defendants:  DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: DIANA ESQUIVEL, ESQ. 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, California 94: E-mail: diana.esquivel@dd  LYNBERG & WATKINS BY: AMY MARGOLIES, ESQ. 1100 W. Town & Country Rog Orange, California 92868	Suite 310 a 91367  c.com m  244 cj.ca.gov  ad, Suite 1450	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiffs, having first duly sworn remotely via videoconference, was examined testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. GALIPO:  Q. Can you state your full name.  A. Name is Timothy, last name is Jong, J-o-n-g.  Q. Thank you. Are you able to hear me okay so fand.  A. Yes.  Q. And if you have any trouble hearing me at any will you please let me know?  A. Yes.  Q. And if I ask you a question that's poorly phramand you do not understand it, will you please let me know?  A. Sure.  Q. I don't plan to be too long, but if you need to a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a If I go more than an hour, I'll take a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a life I go more than an hour, I'll take a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a life I go more than an hour, I'll take a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a life I go more than an hour, I'll take a break at any time, just let me know and we'll take a life I go more than an hour, I'll take a break at any time.	time,  sed  so take break.

	1 mothy jong, w	.D.	UII U1/U2/2025
1	Page 14 it also passed through one of the hip bones, the large bowel,	1	Page 16  A. So the gunshot wound of the anterior left thigh
2	and it lodged the projectile lodged in the fat of the	2	passed through the skin and soft tissue.
3	front of the abdomen.	3	Q. And that had a upward trajectory?
4	Q. And that bullet was also recovered?	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. One moment. I'm assuming the shot to the thighs in
6	Q. And were you able to determine with the two	6	your opinion were not fatal; is that correct?
7	recovered bullets so far, whether they were from a handgun or	7	A. That's correct.
8	a rifle, or is that beyond your area of expertise?	8	Q. And then we have the next one, the posterior left
9	A. I would defer to the handgun analysis expert on	9	thigh.
10	that.	10	Where did that enter?
11	Q. Okay. And so also, that wound did not have an exit;	11	A. So that entered on the back of the left thigh.
12	is that correct?	12	Q. Okay. That would have been another wound to the
13	A. That's correct.	13	back of the body?
14	Q. And that direction would have also been	14	A. Yes.
15	back-to-front, left-to-right, and upward?	15	Q. And what did that pass through?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. So that gunshot wound passed through the skin and
17	Q. And the gunshot wound to the right buttock, where	17	soft tissue.
18	was that generally in terms of the right buttock?	18	Q. That had a back-to-front trajectory?
19	Was it more in the middle, or somewhere else?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. It was middle, slightly to the upper right.	20	Q. Also left-to-right and upward?
21	Q. And what did that pass through after entering the	21	A. Yes.
22	body?	22	Q. If one is getting upward trajectories in the legs,
23	A. It only passed through the soft tissues.	23	if you know, is that consistent with the body going towards
24	Q. And that bullet was also recovered?	24	the ground or away from the shooter, to be shot in the leg
25	A. Yes.	25	and have the bullet go upward?
	D15		D 17
1	Page 15 Q. And that had a left-to-right and upward	1	Page 17  A. The possibility of that scenario, the possibility
2	trajectory?	2	exists, yes.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Okay. And then you have the gunshot wound to the
4	Q. And you listed the associated injuries?	4	lateral left knee?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. So there would be at least the first three shots	6	Q. You described that at the bottom of Page 5?
7	would have all entered the back of the body; is that	7	A. Yes.
8	correct?	8	Q. And tell us about the path of that bullet and
9	A. Yes.	9	whether it had an exit.
10	Q. And then you have a wound to the thigh.	10	A. So the path of that bullet it went through the skin
11	Where did that enter?	11	and soft tissue, and it exited on the anterior or the front
12	A. A clarification question: Are you referring to the	12	portion of the left thigh.
13	left where the Page 4, the gunshot wound?	13	Q. Did that also have a back-to-front direction?
14	Q. Yes. I'm sorry. I didn't realize until I turned	14	A. Yes.
15	the page that there were more than one thigh shots.	15	Q. And also upward?
16	Yeah. So let's go chronological. Thank you.	16	A. Yes.
17	A. Sure. So to answer your question, the wound itself	17	Q. And the associated injuries would essentially be the
18	was on the front, to the side, more to the side with midline	18	hemorrhaging in the wound track?
19	being closer to the midline. It was closer to the front	19	A. Yes.
20	outer part of the thigh.	20	Q. And then we have a gunshot wound to the anterior
21	Q. And what did that bullet pass through?	21	right lower leg, and you listed it as number one; is that
22	A. That bullet only passed through skin and soft	22	right?
23	tissue.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. How about the wound to the anterior left thigh, the	24	Q. Did you do that because there were two separate
1	next one listed at the top of Page 5.	25	wounds to the anterior right lower leg?
25			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

	Timothy bong, 172			
1	Page 18 A. Yes.	1	wound had	Page 20 an exit; is that correct?
2	Q. And did you determine both of those wounds were	2	жо <b>ла</b> пас	Yes.
3	entry wounds?	3		And the anterior right lower leg number two, was
4	A. Yes.	4		se in area in terms of the entrance wound to right
5	Q. And obviously, you have training in determining or	5		g number one?
6	distinguishing between an entry wound and an exit wound, for	6	A.	Yes.
7	example?	7	0.	And had similar direction including an upward
8	A. Yes.	8	direction	
9	Q. Without getting into too many specifics, I'm trying	9	Α.	Yes.
10	to remember my forensic pathology basics.	10		What were the associated injuries with that wound?
11	But are entry wounds generally more circular than	11	Α.	So the associated injuries with the wound on the
12	exit wounds, and sometimes have an abrasion collar?	12		right lower leg number two were fractures of the
13	A. Generally speaking, yes, that's correct.	13		the lower leg with hemorrhage throughout the wound
14	Q. I'm sure that's very basic.	14	track.	ale lower reg with remotifued amoughout the would
15	That's some of what I remember.	15	Q.	And which bones were fractured?
16	What was the direction of the wound to the anterior	16	Α.	The right tibia and right fibula.
17	right lower leg, number one?	17	Q.	And remind me again, where is the tibia?
18	A. The direction was slightly front-to-back,	18	Α.	So the tibia is well, it starts near your knee
19	right-to-left, and upward.	19		all the way at the level of pretty much above your
20	Q. Where on the leg did that actually enter?	20	foot.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
21	A. It was on the front of the right lower leg towards	21	0.	And how about the fibula?
22	the bottom.	22	Α.	Same thing for the fibula. It's a little bit to the
23	Q. Towards the ankle?	23	side of t	the tibia, but it starts at the level of the knee and
24	A. Yes.	24		the way down to the level of the ankle.
25	Q. And it entered there and went upward in the leg?	25		And then there was a gunshot wound to the right
	-			
	D 40			D 41
1	Page 19	1	foot?	Page 21
1 2	A. Yes.	1 2	foot?	_
2	A. Yes. Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on	2	A.	Yes.
2	A. Yes. Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body	2 <b>3</b>	A. Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?
2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the	2	A.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?	2 3 4	A. Q. A. Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other	2 3 4 5	A. Q. A. Q. the area	Yes. And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe? Yes. And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?
2 3 4 5	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A. Q. the area A.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q. the area A.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. Q. A. laceration	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. Q. A. laceratic the soft fourth to	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceration the soft fourth to anterior	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe? Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe? The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory? Yes.  And what were the associated injuries? The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the oe of the right foot which is the front aspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceration the soft fourth to anterior between to	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the per of the right foot as well as another tear on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?  Q. No. Just on the ground in some manner with their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceration the soft fourth to anterior between to	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe? Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory? Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the oe of the right foot as well as another tear on the aspect of the right foot which is the front aspect the third and fourth toes, and there was also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?  Q. No. Just on the ground in some manner with their leg somewhat elevated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. Q. A. laceration the soft fourth to anterior between themorrhage	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the oe of the right foot as well as another tear on the aspect of the right foot which is the front aspect the third and fourth toes, and there was also ge throughout the wound track.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?  Q. No. Just on the ground in some manner with their leg somewhat elevated?  A. Leg somewhat elevated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceratic the soft fourth to anterior between themorrhas Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe? Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe? The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory? Yes.  And what were the associated injuries? The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the pe of the right foot as well as another tear on the aspect of the right foot which is the front aspect the third and fourth toes, and there was also ge throughout the wound track.  So were there ten entry wounds altogether?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?  Q. No. Just on the ground in some manner with their leg somewhat elevated?  A. Leg somewhat elevated  MS. ESQUIVEL: Objection. Lacks foundation; calls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceration the soft fourth to anterior between themorrhage A.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe? Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe? The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory? Yes.  And what were the associated injuries? The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the pe of the right foot as well as another tear on the aspect of the right foot which is the front aspect the third and fourth toes, and there was also ge throughout the wound track.  So were there ten entry wounds altogether? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?  Q. No. Just on the ground in some manner with their leg somewhat elevated?  A. Leg somewhat elevated  MS. ESQUIVEL: Objection. Lacks foundation; calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceration the soft fourth to anterior between themorrhad Q. A. Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe? Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe? The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory? Yes.  And what were the associated injuries? The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the oe of the right foot as well as another tear on the aspect of the right foot which is the front aspect the third and fourth toes, and there was also ge throughout the wound track.  So were there ten entry wounds altogether? Yes.  And multiple shots to the backside of the body?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes.  Q. So what I'm trying to imagine, if the shooter is on ground level shooting at chest level, what type of body position would the person have to be in to get shot in the lower right leg and have it go upward?  It seems like it would have to be something other than standing straight up; is that fair?  A. The possibility exists. There are obviously very many scenarios in terms of hypotheticals which could occur.  Q. Let me ask you this: Is it consistent with the person being on the ground in some fashion, getting shot in the lower leg and having it traveling upward?  A. May I ask a clarification question?  Q. Of course.  A. Are they completely lying flat on the ground with their leg against the ground?  Q. No. Just on the ground in some manner with their leg somewhat elevated?  A. Leg somewhat elevated  MS. ESQUIVEL: Objection. Lacks foundation; calls for speculation.  BY MR. GALIPO:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. the area A. the skin Q. A. laceratic the soft fourth to anterior between themorrhag A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes.  And did that strike in the area of the fifth toe?  Yes.  And where did that travel after striking the toe or of the fifth toe?  The area that the projectile traveled through was and the soft tissue of the right foot.  Did that also have an upward trajectory?  Yes.  And what were the associated injuries?  The associated injuries of that wound included the on which is a tear with surrounding hemorrhaging into tissue which is the ecchymosis on the sole of the oe of the right foot as well as another tear on the aspect of the right foot which is the front aspect the third and fourth toes, and there was also ge throughout the wound track.  So were there ten entry wounds altogether?  Yes.  And multiple shots to the backside of the body?  That's correct.